United States of America

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

1924 Building - Room 2R90, 100 Alabama Street, SW Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3104

Secretary of Labor,

Complainant,

v.

Flintstone Millworks & Hardwood Co., Inc.,

Respondent.

OSHRC Docket No. 09-0305

Simplified Proceedings

Appearances:

Tremelle Howard-Fishburne, Esquire, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, Atlanta, Georgia For Complainant

Richard Edgerton, Jr., Representative, Flintstone Millworks & Hardward Co., Inc, Ringgold, Georgia For Respondent

Before: Administrative Law Judge Stephen J. Simko, Jr.

DECISION AND ORDER

Flintstone Millworks & Hardwood Co., Inc. (Flintstone) is engaged in millwork in Ringgold, Georgia. On July 29, 2008, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) conducted an inspection at the Respondent's workplace. As a result of this inspection OSHA issued a citation to Flintstone on January 23, 2009. Flintstone timely filed a notice contesting the citation and proposed penalties. A hearing was held, pursuant to Simplified Proceedings, in Chattanooga, Tennessee, on August 7, 2009.

At the hearing, the parties agreed to amend the two alleged violations from Failure to Abate Violations to Repeat Violations. Citation No. 1, Items 1 and 2, are therefore, affirmed as Repeat violations. The remaining issues to be decided are the appropriate penalties to be assessed. For the following reasons, a penalty of \$200.00 is assessed for Citation No. 1, Item 1 and a penalty of \$300.00 is assessed for Citation No. 1, Item 2.

Excerpts of relevant transcript pages and paragraphs, including findings of fact and conclusions of law (Tr. 21-22) are attached hereto in accordance with 29 C.F.R § 2200.209(f).

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSION OF LAW

The foregoing decision constitutes the findings of fact and conclusions of law in accordance

with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(a).

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing decision, it is ORDERED:

1. Citation No. 1, Item 1, as amended, alleging a repeat violation of 29 C.F.R.

§ 1910.147(c)(1) is affirmed and a penalty of \$200.00 is assessed; and

Citation No. 1, Item 2, as amended, alleging a repeat violation of 29 C.F.R. 2.

§ 1910.178(l)(1)(i) is affirmed and a penalty of \$300.00 is assessed.

\s\ Stephen J. Simko, Jr.

STEPHEN J. SIMKO, JR.

Judge

Date: August 18, 2009

Attachment: Tr. 21-22

-2-

it possible at some point if we can get copies of these?

THE COURT: Sure. We'll make copies of them all if you'll remind me.

MS. HOWARD-FISHBURNE: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few minutes and I'll go back here and I'll be back with a decision momentarily.

(Thereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

THE COURT: All right. Let's go back on the record. The parties have stipulated and agreed to amend both items -- citation one, item one and citation one, item two from an alleged failure to abate violations to repeat violations.

Remaining at issue are the penalties which were proposed for both violations. After due consideration and oral testimony and financial records, other documentation, documentary evidence that's been received today, I find that the appropriate would be the following. For the repeat violation of 29 CFR 1910.147(c)(1) involving lockout/tagout repeat violation, I find the appropriate penalty of \$200.

For citation one, item two, repeat

violation of 29 CFR 1910.178(1)(1)(i), the repeat violation of the forklift training program, I find 2 a penalty of \$300 to be appropriate. 3 So it's ordered that citation one, item one and citation one, item two are affirmed 5 as repeat violations and a penalty of \$200 is 6 assessed for the first item and \$300 is assessed 7 for the second item. Total penalty assessment of 8 \$500 for both violations. 9 Anything further? 10 MS. HOWARD-FISHBURNE: No. 11 THE COURT: Anything further, 12 Mr. Edgerton? 13 MR. EDGERTON, JR.: I quess that 14 15 check is made out to OSHA. That would be made out to THE COURT: 16 OSHA. 17 MR. EDGERTON, JR.: And do I have 18 a -- can I have some time to do that? 19 20 THE COURT: That's something you'll have to work out with OSHA. I'll enter my 21 decision after I receive the transcript. So my 22 guess is within 30 days I'll be issuing my 23 24 decision. MR. EDGERTON, JR.: So I have 30 days 25

1